



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,303	01/20/2006	Katsuhiko Yamaguchi	126732	7078
25944	7590	08/27/2008		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 320850			SWENSON, BRIAN L	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/565,303	Applicant(s) YAMAGUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner BRIAN SWENSON	Art Unit 3618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 June 2008.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 January 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :1/20/06, 3/5/08, 4/3/08, 6/6/08.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-4, 6-9, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7,007,464 issued to Asami et al.

Asami et al. teaches in Figures 1 and 2 and respective portions of the specification of a control apparatus and method of operation a vehicle equipped with an electric motor (motors 1 and 13) generating drive power, a charging mechanism (21) supplying electric power to said electric motor, and a catalyst (25) purifying gases emitted as the drive power of said vehicle is generated, comprising:

first control means (Figure 2) for running the vehicle by the electric motor supplied with electric power from said charging mechanism in accordance with an operation of a driver;

determination means for determining whether said catalyst requires to be warmed up or not (see S11; Figure 2);

second control means for running the vehicle by the electric motor supplied with electric power from said charging mechanism, when it is determined that the catalyst requires to be warmed up(see S14; Figure 2); and

prohibition means for prohibiting control by said first control means based on a predetermined condition as to whether execution of control by said second control means is possible or not (see S12; Figure 2).

In re claim 2, 7 and 12, Asami et al. teach of an engine (E) and said catalyst purifies gases emitted from the engine (see, for example, Col. 4, lines 42-49).

In re claims 3-4, 8-9 and 13-14 see S12, Figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 5, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asami et al., as disclosed above, in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,719,076 issued to Tabata et al.

Asami et al. disclose the claimed invention except for teaching of an information means for informing the drive that the first control means is prohibited.

Tabat et al. teach of a hybrid vehicle, and Tabat et al. specifically shows in Figure 8 an information display that indicates the catalyst temperature. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide an

information display, as taught by Tabat et al., in the invention taught by Asami et al. One would be motivated to provide an information display to indicate to the driver the vehicle's operating state.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 6,892,541 issued to Suzuki teaches of a method for operating a hybrid vehicle and for warming a catalyst.

U.S. Patent No. 6,895,744 issued to Osawa teaches of an operation method and operation control device that accounts for a vehicle's catalyst temperature.

U.S. Patent No. 6,059,057 issued to Yamazuki et al. teach of a catalyst temperature control apparatus for a hybrid vehicle.

U.S. Patent No. 7,121,084 issued to Miyashita teach of a method for warming a catalytic converter and motoring a battery's state of charge.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN SWENSON whose telephone number is (571)272-6699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher P Ellis/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3618

Brian Swenson
Examiner
Art Unit 3618

/B. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 3618